The Effect of Various Contents of Nano-Lime on The Properties of Self-Compacting Geopolymer Concrete Containing Micro-Steel Fibers

ABSTRACT

The high carbon footprint of cement manufacture is the main disadvantage of Portland cement concrete, leading to contamination of the environment. Self-compacting geopolymer concrete (SCGPC) can effectively replace plain cement concrete in the construction sector for a sustainable environment. The need for high-performance concretes and green construction is rising day by day. This study has endeavored to investigate the effect of incorporating nano-lime (NL) particles at various contents of (0 %, 1 %, 2 %, and 3 %) by mass of calcined kaolin clay (CKC) on characteristics of fresh (flowability and passing ability), mechanical (the strengths of compression and flexural), and durability (porosity, and water absorption) for SCGPC reinforced with micro steel fibers based on CKC to enhance its performance. Three SCGPC mixes were made utilizing NL at 1 %, 2 %, and 3 % as a partial replacement for CKC; however, an additional mix was made with no NL. The mixes had a fixed total binder quantity (484 kg/m3) and a constant quantity of micro-steel fibers 0,5 % by volume. The findings showed that adding NL had a negative effect on fresh characteristics, though the mixes still satisfied the required criteria. However, With the addition of NL, especially at 2 % content, the mechanical and durability characteristics of SCGPC are considerably enhanced. Applying this amount of NL results in a 1,9 % reduction in the slump flow; however, it increases compressive strength by 20,95 %, 27,27 %, and 11,91 % for 3,7 and 28 days, respectively, and flexural strength by 17,41 % for 28 days. It also reduced the porosity of the SCGPC specimens and significantly improved the specimens’ resistance to water absorption. Based on the findings of present investigation, the recommended content of NL to be applied in SCGPC for the best performance is 2 %.
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INTRODUCTION

Modern technical advancements aim to create less dangerous, cheaper, and more effective substances. Hence, it is important to provide environmentally friendly and sustainable types of concrete due to carbon dioxide emissions linked to cement production. Geopolymer is the eco-binder and novel advancement in the concrete industry in which Portland cement (PC) can be substituted by alumina-silicate sources such as materials of geological origin (calcined kaolin clay) or waste materials (fly ash & GGBFS), activated by an alkali solution to serve as a binder in concrete. Geopolymer concrete (GPC) requires proper compacting to prevent failure because its high viscosity. Self-compacting geopolymer concrete (SCGPC) was presented as a solution to this issue. Self-compacting concrete (SCC) spreads and fills the mold during heavy reinforcing without needing for vibration. SCGPC is made by completely removing the PC and does not require vibration to be placed since it includes the advantages of both GPC and SCC. Research on GPC production using fly ash as raw materials is predominantly published. However, 1 ton of cement of geopolymer involving 50 % fly ash associated with 16.5 tons of carbon dioxide emissions. Also, Low-calcium fly ash was employed to create geopolymers, which often set gradually, have a large porosity, and have lower strength. As a result, using fly ash to make geopolymer cement is not a long-term practical method. Generally, the idea is to employ raw materials from geological resources such as calcined kaolin clay because they are widely available, more eco-friendly, and stable over time. Calcined kaolin clay (CKC) is a product made from natural kaolin clay, containing high alumina and silica content, with a smaller environmental effect and lower extraction costs. Several investigations indicate that adding different fiber types to GPC and normal concrete enhances their compressive, tensile, and flexural properties. Notably, geopolymer concrete exhibits greater brittleness and a lower elastic modulus. Hence, when micro steel fiber is added to geopolymer composites, crack initiation decreases, and the geopolymer’s mechanical properties are enhanced. Several investigations indicate that geopolymers involving microfibers have better mechanical characteristics than those with no microfibers. On the other hand, developing a balanced mix may be difficult since factors like the hydroxide solution’s molarity, the curing method, and the raw ingredients all impact GPC properties. As a result, the construction industry is adopting nanotechnology to improve geopolymer concrete performance. Geopolymers are developed by ultrafine particles of powder with a scale of less than 100 nm, improving hardened properties by serving as fillers and nucleation sites and accelerating the stages of geopolymerization. On the other hand, incorporating fiber with nanoparticles improves the specimens’ flexural and bond strength, densifying the matrix and preventing the pull-out of fibers. Moreover, Safiuddin et al. revealed that adding nanoparticles to concrete could decrease maintenance and rehabilitation costs. The previous studies on the incorporating of nanoparticles in GPC or SCGPC used either nano-silica or nano-titanium oxide with very little understanding of the impact of nano lime (i.e., nano-CaCO₃(NC)). Moreover Raj et al. claimed there was not enough literature on the incorporating of nanolime in GPC. Nano-lime (NL) is cheaper because its abundant supply in limestone and marble, making it suitable for cementitious composites as a filler and nucleation agent. However, new research by Assadi et al. assessed the inclusion of Calcium Carbonate nanoparticles (NC) in geopolymeric paste based on fly ash up to a 3 % content. While increasing the amount of NC to 2 % enhanced the mechanical characteristics of geopolymer composites, increasing it to 3 % decreased GPC mechanical performance. On the other hand, Ge examined the effects of NC of various doses and sizes on SCC and paste of cement, finding that increasing NC increases
compressive strength while decreasing strength as particle size increases also showed that Concrete with low concentrations (≤2,5 %) showed satisfactory workability. Hosan and Shaikh\(^{(26)}\) assessed the impact of inclusion of nano Calcium Carbonate particles on the durability and the compressive strength of concretes made from slag and fly ash high-volume. Results showed that 1 % NC enhanced strengths of compression and reduced water absorption and permeable void volume after 28 days, but a higher decrease was noted during 90 days of curing. Based on the published literature review, up to date, it is evident that no studies have examined the effect of the blending nano-lime with calcined kaolin clay on properties of SCGPC reinforced with micro-steel fibers based on calcined kaolin clay. For example, Alomayri\(^{(13)}\) assessed the impact of Multiple concentrations of NC on the mechanical performance of geopolymeric paste reinforced with micro-steel fiber based on fly ash (not calcined kaolin clay). Also, recent work by Bheel et al.\(^{(27)}\) assessed the properties of SCGPC based on metakaolin (i.e. calcined kaolin clay) by adding millet husk ash and wheat straw as a partial replacement for metakaolin, but nano-lime with various contents was not added as was studied in current research. Hence, the present experimental study aims to investigate the effect of various amounts of NL particles (0 %, 1 %, 2 %, and 3 %), a partial replacement for calcined kaolin clay on the properties of SCGPC-containing 0,5 % micro-steel fibers, these characteristics included workability, the strengths of compression and flexural, porosity, and water absorption. The current research offers a new method for enhancing strength and durability by using a novel combination (CKC+NL) as an eco-binder in manufacturing SCGPC reinforced with steel fiber. The outcomes of the present study can be valuable for better the performance of new SCGPC products that are suitable for construction applications along with the consumption of locally sourced raw materials with lower carbon footprints, thus advancing the trend toward sustainable building practices.

**Experimental Work**

**Material**

The materials required to create SCGPC mixtures for the present research are displayed in figure 1. The materials are as follows:

- **Calcined Kaolin Clay (CKC).** Calcined kaolin clay was produced using Iraqi kaolin clay from western Iraq (Dewekha region, Al-Anbar Governorate). After being ground, the kaolin clay was burned for one hour in a furnace up to 700ºC ± 20ºC following to Ibrahem and Wahab\(^{(30)}\). After that, the CKC was milled to a high fineness.

- **Sodium Silicate (Na\(_2\)SiO\(_3\)).** Sodium silicate (Na\(_2\)SiO\(_3\)) in solution form was used in this study and was produced commercially. It has the following properties: a maximum size of 10 mm, 0,5 % absorption, 0,03 % SO\(_3\) content, and has the following qualities: density (1,534–1,551), and viscosity (600-1200).

- **Water.** To improve workability, drinking tap water was added to the SCGPC mix, which conforms with Iraqi Specification\(^{(13)}\).

- **nano Calcium Carbonate particles on the durability and the compressive strength of concretes made from slag and fly ash high-volume.** Results showed that 1 % NC enhanced strengths of compression and reduced water absorption and permeable void volume after 28 days, but a higher decrease was noted during 90 days of curing. Based on the published literature review, up to date, it is evident that no studies have examined the effect of the blending nano-lime with calcined kaolin clay on properties of SCGPC reinforced with micro-steel fibers based on calcined kaolin clay. For example, Alomayri\(^{(13)}\) assessed the impact of Multiple concentrations of NC on the mechanical performance of geopolymeric paste reinforced with micro-steel fiber based on fly ash (not calcined kaolin clay). Also, recent work by Bheel et al.\(^{(27)}\) assessed the properties of SCGPC based on metakaolin (i.e. calcined kaolin clay) by adding millet husk ash and wheat straw as a partial replacement for metakaolin, but nano-lime with various contents was not added as was studied in current research. Hence, the present experimental study aims to investigate the effect of various amounts of NL particles (0 %, 1 %, 2 %, and 3 %), a partial replacement for calcined kaolin clay on the properties of SCGPC-containing 0,5 % micro-steel fibers, these characteristics included workability, the strengths of compression and flexural, porosity, and water absorption. The current research offers a new method for enhancing strength and durability by using a novel combination (CKC+NL) as an eco-binder in manufacturing SCGPC reinforced with steel fiber. The outcomes of the present study can be valuable for better the performance of new SCGPC products that are suitable for construction applications along with the consumption of locally sourced raw materials with lower carbon footprints, thus advancing the trend toward sustainable building practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Chemical compositions of calcined kaolin Clay (CKC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxides of CKC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content (Percent %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM C618 requirements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. The calcined kaolin clay’s physical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Fineness (m²/kg)</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
<th>Median particle size (µm)</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKC</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>Off-White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Characteristics of micro–Steel Fiber according to Manufacture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>L. (mm)</th>
<th>Dia. -(mm)</th>
<th>Dens. (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Tensile strength</th>
<th>Aspect ratio</th>
<th>M.O. E. (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micro Steel Fiber</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Ingredients employed for the making of SCGPC mixes

Self-Compacting Geopolymer Concrete Manufacturing

Alkaline Solution Preparation

Alkaline solution is essential in the manufacturing of geopolymers because its ability to dissolve silica and alumina and catalyze the polymerization reaction.\(^{34}\) NaOH solution and Na\(_2\)SiO\(_3\) solution were combined to create an alkaline solution for this study. The alkali solution must be supplied first before creating SCGPC, left alone for twenty minutes, and then employed. The proportion of Na\(_2\)SiO\(_3\) solution to Sodium hydroxide solution was set at 2.5:1 and the ratio (Alkali liquid /binder) was maintained at 0.5. The alkali solution weight was divided by 3.5 to obtain the sodium hydroxide solution weight, whereas the sodium hydroxide solution weight was multiplied by 2.5 to obtain the Na\(_2\)SiO\(_3\) solution weight. The concentration of the NaOH solution was maintained at 12 (mole/L), so to produce One kilogram of sodium hydroxide solution, 36.2 % of solid flakes were used. The weight of sodium hydroxide flakes is displayed in table 4.

Table 4. A Quantity of sodium hydroxide solids for 1 kg of solution for different molarities and NaOH weight concentrations\(^{35,36}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molarity (mole/L)</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Hydroxide weight concentration %</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight NaOH of Flakes (g)</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Water (g)</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparation, Mixing and Samples Curing

Four mixes were created: three with NL substituted with CKC at 1 %, 2 %, and 3 % by mass, and one control mix containing no NL. However, for all the mixes the total binder content(B) was kept at 484 kg/m\(^3\). According to previous studies,\(^{13,20,27}\) micro Steel fibers were additionally incorporated to all mixes at a fixed level of 0.5 % by volume of the overall mixture of SCGPC. The mix proportions are presented in Table 5. Moreover, the stages of mixing involved mixing the dry ingredients (CKC, fine and coarse aggregate) for two and a half minutes. Then, gradually put the previously made liquid including water, superplasticizer and an alkaline solution to the mixer for three minutes, shaking liquid with each addition. In addition, the steps provided by Shahrajabian & Behfarnia\(^{33}\) were used for dispersing nano lime. However, to prevent clumping and clustering, fibers added by hand to the mixer.\(^{39}\) Also, after fresh SCGPC testing and casting specimens without undergoing compaction, these specimens rested for 24 hours at ambient conditions, along with the molds; after that, they were demolded and heated to 60 C\(^\circ\) for just one day. The specimens were then maintained at room temperature (between 22 and 30 C\(^\circ\)) and covered with plastic until the day of testing to minimize moisture loss. See figure 2a and figure 2b.
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Figure 2. Preparation of SCGPC samples: (a) cast specimens (b) Casting samples are covered in plastic until the day of testing

Table 5. Mix proportions of SCGPC (kg/m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix Symbol</th>
<th>Control Mix (100% CKC + 0% NL)</th>
<th>SCGPC1</th>
<th>SCGPC2</th>
<th>SCGPC3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix</td>
<td>SCGPC0</td>
<td>479.16</td>
<td>474.32</td>
<td>469.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKC</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>479.16</td>
<td>474.32</td>
<td>469.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>14.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/B</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SiO₃</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine agg</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse agg</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP%</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro steel fiber</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Testing Procedure

Fresh properties

The fresh characteristics of SCGPC mixtures were investigated using European guidelines for SCC, including flowability and passing ability via slump flow, V-funnel, and L-box tests, as shown in figure 3.

Figure 3. Fresh characteristics tests: (a) test of L-Box (b) V-Funnel Flow Time and (C) Slump Flow test

Mechanical properties

In this research, the mechanical characteristics for each of the four SCGPC mixes were examined, comprising both flexural and compressive strength. At the age of 3, 7, and 28 days, the compressive strength test was conducted according to BS EN 12390-part 3 employing 10 cm cube specimens. At the age of 28 days, the test method a three-point loading described in ASTM C78 was carried out to measure the flexural strength employing 10 × 10 × 40 cm prisms.

Durability Properties

Measurements of porosity and water absorption were performed to assess the quality of SCGPC specimens. At the age of 28 day, SCGPC cube samples (100 ×100 ×100) mm³ were tested for water absorption and porosity using the methodology described in ASTM C642. The water Absorption test includes drying specimens at 110°C.
°C oven temperature to a consistent mass, then immersing them in water until totally saturated. The dry and saturated surface-dry specimens were then weighed. The water absorption values were obtained employing this equation:

\[
\text{Water Absorption (\%)} = \frac{(W_{\text{ssd}} - W_d)}{W_d} \times 100
\]

(1)

where \(W_{\text{ssd}}\) is the saturated dry surface weight, and \(W_d\) is the dry weight.

The Porosity’s value was determined employing the following Equation:

\[
\text{Porosity (\%)} = \frac{W_{\text{ssd}} - W_d}{W_{\text{ssd}}} \times 100
\]

(2)

where \(W_w\) refers to the saturated specimen’s weight in water.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Fresh Properties**

Table 6 and figures 4 and 6 provide the findings of the fresh characteristics of all SCGPC mixes. According to published studies, lime nanoparticles increased the water needed for concrete because of the larger surface area and tiny size of particle. As a result, fresh concrete became less workable. In the course of this study, this theory was verified. The reduction in workability was caused by including nano lime as a partial replacement for CKC in SCGPC. The increased surface area of nano lime particles more than CKC may help to explain this. The nano-lime mixes generally showed lower performance in fresh characteristics than the control mix. These effects of nano lime on SCGPC’s fresh characteristics were similar to those found by Ge\(^{(43)}\) and Kadhum and Owaid.\(^{(23)}\) They found that adding nano-lime to SCC leads to decreased slump flow diameter, L-box height ratio, and increased V-funnel flow times (i.e., increased mixture viscosity). The following paragraphs covered the impact of nano lime on each fresh property test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>Mix Symbol</th>
<th>Diameter of the slump flow (mm)</th>
<th>Flow time of V-funnel (TV) (sec)</th>
<th>Blocking Ratio (BR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Mix 100 %CKC + 0 %NL</td>
<td>SCGPC0</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>0,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 %CKC + 1 %NL</td>
<td>SCGPC1</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>0,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 %CKC + 2 %NL</td>
<td>SCGPC2</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>0,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 %CKC + 3 %NL</td>
<td>SCGPC3</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>0,852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Slump Flow Test**

Figure 4 exhibits the slump flow test findings. All the mixes had slump flow diameters within the 550–650 mm EFNARC range. The mix SCGPC1(control) achieves a maximum value of slump flow diameter of 630 mm. The flow of various SCGPC mixtures decreases as nano lime content increases, which could be caused by the fact that the particles of nano lime are very small and have a larger surface area. Also, the slump flow’s final spread was visually inspected, and no segregation was seen.
V-funnel test

All four mixes were tested through the test of V-funnel to determine the flowability and stability of fresh SCGPC. In figure 5, the test outcomes for the V-funnel flow times are shown. Based on the findings of test, the V-funnel flow time (TV) changes between 11.0 and 11.8. The SCC is divided into two categories, VF1 (≤ 8 sec) and VF2 (9-25 sec), according to EFNARC standards. The outcomes of the flow time (TV) of all mixes were within the VF2 category, which indicates an increase in viscosity when adding nano-lime and, at the same time, an improvement in segregation resistance. The minimum time (TV) of 11.0 Sec is noted for the control mix containing 100 %CKC without NL. Moreover, the fluidity of concrete diminishes as nano-lime concentration rises. As a result, the V-funnel’s flow time lengthens. The exact causes and mechanisms cited for the slump flow test findings also dictate explanations for the findings of the test of V-funnel.

![V-funnel flow time graph]

Figure 5. impact of NL contents on V-funnel flow time

L-Box Test

Figure 6 displays the blocking ratio (BR=H2/H1) for various SCGPC mixtures. The findings of the BR varied from (0.867-0.854). When evaluating the fresh concrete for its ability to pass through an L-box’s bars, it is found that all four mixes flow through the bars without any blockage. The L-box test’s findings illustrate that BR decreases gradually as the nano-lime content increases. The greater the nano-lime concentration, the lower the blocking ratio. The passing ability of all SCGPC mixtures was adequate (BR ≥ 0.8) per EFNARC guidelines.

![Blocking ratio graph]

Figure 6. impact of NL contents on L-box blocking ratio
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Mechanical Properties

Compressive strength

The compressive strength of hardened concrete is a crucial property that aids in assessing the degree of geopolymerization. Figure 7 and table 7 demonstrate the findings of Compressive strength of all SCGPC mixes. For every testing age, the compressive strengths of SCGPC with 1%, 2%, and 3% NL replacement are greater than control Mix SCGPC0 (0% NL), as demonstrated in figure 3. This improvement and acceleration of strength growth are attributed to the nucleation of hydration products as well as the effects of nano-fillers produced by the incorporation particles of NL, which helps seal the nano and miniature voids between the micro steel fibres and the geopolymer matrix combined with nano- Lime’s ability to revive the geopolymerization cycle. The addition of NL can speed up the geopolymerization process since they can provide as nucleation sites for the useful products to form inside the SCGPC. The strength of compression of mixes including 1 and 3% NL is higher than that of the control mix by (11,82%, 17,10%, and 4,31%) and (17,91%, 23,60%, and 10,47%), for ages 3, 7 and 28 days, respectively. However, the mixture containing 2,0% NL demonstrated the highest increases in strength of compression. The authors conclude that the optimum content of nano-lime is 2%, which increases the strength of compression by 20,95%, 27,27%, and 11,91% for ages 3, 7 and 28 days, respectively, compared to the control, indicating optimal reactivity due to good nano-lime particle dispersion created more geopolymer gels as well as act as a filler to fill nano and micro-voids in SCGPC. This outcome is comparable with that of nano-caco3-fly ash geopolymer composite reported by Assaedi et al., who found that the optimum addition of nano-CaCO3 to fly ash geopolymer mixes approximately 2,0%, which increases the compressive strength of the nano-geopolymer composites by 57,12% over the reference mix at the age of 28 days. Both investigations show that increasing the proportion of NL lead to enhancement in compressive strength of the samples. This finding may also be attributed to the influence of the nano-lime, which enhanced the matrix via the geopolymer reaction, resulting in a greater content of geopolymeric products. The outcomes of this investigation show that the addition of nano-lime beyond content of 2% reduces the strength of compression, but not less than that of the control mix of SCGPC. Figure 7, Impact of NL contents on strength of compression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>Mix Symbol</th>
<th>Strength of compression (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mix 100% CKC+ 0% NL</td>
<td>SCGPC0</td>
<td>29,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99% CKC + 1% NL</td>
<td>SCGPC1</td>
<td>33,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98% CKC + 2% NL</td>
<td>SCGPC2</td>
<td>35,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97% CKC + 3% NL</td>
<td>SCGPC3</td>
<td>34,9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Flexural Strength

Figure 8 demonstrates the outcomes of the flexural strength test for various SCGPC mixes containing 0.5 % micro-steel fibers with and without NL. Like compressive strength, NL addition also enhanced the flexural strengths of SCGPC mixtures. The improved interfacial connection between fiber and matrix was believed to cause this. The strength of flexural of SCGPC0 achieves 5.80 MPa, while mixes SCGPC1, SCGPC2, and SCGPC3 reach a strength of 6.23, 6.81 and 6.59 MPa, respectively, increasing about 7.41 %, 17.41 %, and 13.62 % compared with the strength of the control mix. The flexural strength of all mixes, including NL, was greater than that of the control; however, the mix SCGPC2 containing 2.0 % NL showed the highest value of flexural strength increase of 17.41 %, compared to the control, indicating that adding 2 % NL is the optimum proportion to increase the flexural strength. The enhancement can be attributable to the combined effect of NL and micro-steel fibers, resulting in a denser matrix and inhibiting the fiber pull-out due to the interfacial bonding between the micro-steel fiber and matrix is improved.[18,20,45] In a similar study, Alomayri[13] showed that adding 1-2 % nano-CaCO3 to the fly-ash geopolymer mix, including 0.5 % by volume micro steel fiber, improved the flexural strength, and using 2 %NL produced a maximal value of flexural strength reaching approximately 71.47 % of that of the control mix. Both studies show enhanced flexural strength due to NL enhancing the microstructure and accelerating the geopolymerization reaction, leading to the densification of the geopolymer matrix.

![Figure 8. Effects of NL contents on Flexural strength](https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf2024837)

Durability Properties

Porosity and Water Absorption

Table 8 displays the porosity and water absorption results for each SCGPC mix. The incorporation of NL reduced proportionally the porosity and water absorption of all SCGPC mixes compared to reference mix (SCGPC0). Based on the outcomes of this study, the optimum proportion of NL was found as 2.0 % by mass, which decreased the porosity by 10.30 % and water absorption by 13 % as compared with the control mix. The pore-filling abilities of NL particles helped to decrease these characteristics.[46] Similar observation was noticed by Al Ghabban et al.[47] who explained the effect of using NL in conventional concrete with proportions (0-4 %) on the durability of concrete and found the optimum content of NL is 3 %, decreases water absorption about 21.42 % over control may be attributed to the addition NL led to much denser microstructure. However, adding excessive quantities of nano-lime beyond the optimum content (2 %) increased both of these characteristics of all samples but still lower than control mix, possibly due to agglomeration effect resulting from more nanoparticles addition.[46] These outcomes on the influence of NL are in agreement with those previously published by Shaikh and Supit[48] on conventional concrete containing high volume fly ash and Khotbehsara et al.[49] on self-compacting mortar.
**CONCLUSIONS**

**Practical and Theoretical Implications of Outcomes**

According to the outcomes of the current study on SCGPC containing micro-steel fiber, the following are the main conclusions.

1. The flowability and passing ability declined with an increasing NL content. However, the findings of each test for all mixes were within the EFNARC range.
2. The study found that adding nano-lime at various amounts (1 %, 2 %, and 3 % by mass) enhanced mechanical, and durability properties at all ages compared with the control mix with no NL.
3. The findings from this study show that the enhancement value in the studied characteristics is low if the nano-lime content exceeds 2 %. Moreover, for economic considerations, this ratio is optimal to use in SCGPC.
4. The optimum ratio of addition NL is 2 %, which increases the compressive strength by 20.95 %, 27.27 %, and 11.91 % for 3, 7, and 28 days, respectively, compared to the control mix, and it is also increases flexural strength by 17.41 % for 28 days. The improvement can be related to the ability of enough nano-lime to hinder micro and nanovoids in the matrix because NL can accelerate the geopolymerization process, improving the bond between the microfibers and SCGPC components.
5. Regarding the development of durability properties, it was noted that the addition of 2,0 % NL had the lowest porosity and water absorption (10.30 % and 13 % less than the control mixture for porosity and water absorption, respectively). This indicates that particles of nano-lime play a pore-filling role to decrease the porosity of SCGPC.
6. This investigation underscores the potential of use nano-lime (NL) particles with optimum content 2 % in SCGPC providing low-carbon binder for producing sustainable SCGPC with better the performance thus Promoting the movement towards Sustainable high-performance concrete construction practices.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

From this study, the following can be recommendations for future works:

1. Studying the behavior of nano-lime particles in SCGPC based on alkali activated CKC at the later ages (such as 180 and 360 days).
2. Studying microstructure properties for SCGPC samples at various ages using SEM, XRD, and BET techniques.
3. Studying the effects of different curing methods on performance of SCGPC such as steam curing and curing by sun light.
4. Investigating other the durability characteristics of SCGPC contained nano-lime (for example corrosion resistance, acid resistance, carbonation and sulfate attack).
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